

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/757,511	01/15/2004	Shin-ichi Kojima	Q79079	4621		
23373	7590 07/05/2006		EXAMINER			
	MION, PLLC YLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.	HISSONG, BRUCE D				
SUITE 800	ILVANIA AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			1646			
				DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
			10/757,511	KOJIMA ET AL.				
		E	Examiner	Art Unit				
			Bruce D. Hissong, Ph.D.	1646				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	ation appea	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAINS IN THE MAINS IN THE MAINS IN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with the set or extended period	ILING DAT 37 CFR 1.136( nication. tory period will ill, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMMUNICATION  a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire  apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 26 Feb	ruary 2004					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,٠	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction	and/or ele	ection requirement.					
	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the	Eveminer						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ted or h) objected to by the	Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•	, ,			
•	, inder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
		r foreign ni	riority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a	)-(d) or (f)				
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
۵,۱	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		. 5- 3-						
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					O-152)			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date	10/98/08)	6) Other:	atom Application (F1	J 102)			

Application/Control Number: 10/757,511 Page 2

Art Unit: 1646

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

A. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-5, 10-14, drawn to purified polypeptides and pharmaceutical compositions of said polypeptides, classified in class 424, subclass 85.7.
- II. Claims 6-7 and 15-16, drawn to a method of treating viral disease, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- III. Claims 8-9 and 17-18, drawn to a method of treating cancer, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- B. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 1. Invention I is related to invention II and III as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the product of invention I can be used in a materially different process. For example, the polypeptides of invention I can be used as immunogens to raise specific antibodies.
- 2. Inventions II and III are independent and distinct inventions, each from the other, because the methods are practiced with materially different process steps for materially different purposes, and each method requires a non-coextensive search because of different starting materials, process steps, and goals. In the instant case, the methods of inventions II and III are

Application/Control Number: 10/757,511 Page 3

Art Unit: 1646

drawn to the treatment of different diseases, and as such, the methods of inventions II and III are practiced for materially different purposes and have different goals.

**C.** Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement, **to be complete**, must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

**D.** The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/757,511

Art Unit: 1646

E. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

F. A telephone call was made to Mr. Mark Hayman on 6/27/2006 to request an oral election to

the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicant is

advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a

species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143)

and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To

reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election

shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably

distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the

evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

G. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Bruce D. Hissong, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-3324.

The examiner can normally be reached M-F from 8:30am - 5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Nickol, Ph.D., can be

reached at (571) 272-0835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application

or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/757,511 Page 5

Art Unit: 1646

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BDH Art Unit 1646

> TOBERT S. LANDSMAN, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER